Oscillatory Localization of Quantum Walks Analyzed by Classical Electric Circuits

Andris Ambainis,* Krišjānis Prūsis,† Jevgēnijs Vihrovs,‡ and Thomas G. Wong§
Faculty of Computing, University of Latvia, Raiņa bulv. 19, Rīga, LV-1586, Latvia

We examine an unexplored quantum phenomenon we call oscillatory localization, where a discrete-time quantum walk with Grover's diffusion coin jumps back and forth between two vertices. We then connect it to the power dissipation of a related electric network. Namely, we show that there are only two kinds of oscillating states, called uniform states and flip states, and that the projection of an arbitrary state onto a flip state is bounded by the power dissipation of an electric circuit. By applying this framework to states along a single edge of a graph, we show that low effective resistance implies oscillatory localization of the quantum walk. This reveals that oscillatory localization occurs on a large variety of regular graphs, including edge-transitive, expander, and high degree graphs. As a corollary, high edge-connectivity also implies localization of these states, since it is closely related to electric resistance.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 05.40.Fb, 07.50.Ek, 84.30.-r, 02.10.Ox

arXiv:1606.02136v3 [quant-ph] 16 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Localization was first observed in discrete-time quantum walks roughly fourteen years ago by Mackay et al. [1], whose numerical simulations demonstrated that an initially localized quantum walker on the two-dimensional (2D) lattice had a high probability of remaining at its initial location. This behavior was further investigated numerically by Tregenna et al. [2], and it was analytically proved to persist for all time by Inui, Konishi, and Konno [3]. Since this seminal work, localization in quantum walks has been an area of thriving research (see Section 2.2.9 of [4] for an overview and the references therein). Such localization is a purely quantum phenomenon, starkly different from the diffusive behavior of classical random walks. Furthermore, the ability to localize a quantum walker has potential applications in quantum optics, quantum search algorithms, and investigating topological phases [5, 6].

In this paper, we introduce a new type of localization where the quantum walker jumps back and forth between two locations, so we term it *oscillatory localization*. The first hint of this behavior appears in Inui, Konishi, and Konno's aforementioned analysis of the 2D walk [3], where the probability of finding the walker at its initial location is high at even times and small at odd times. Our analysis shows that this is due to the walker jumping back and forth between its initial location and an adjacent site, and in this paper, we prove that it occurs on a wide variety of graphs, including complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, hypercubes, square lattices of high dimension, expander graphs, and high degree graphs.

The quantum walk is defined on a graph of N vertices [7], so the walker jumps in superposition from vertex to vertex with the edges defining the allowed transitions. For simplicity, we assume that the graph is regular with degree d. Then besides the position space, we include an additional d-dimensional internal "coin" degree of freedom in order to define a non-trivial walk [8, 9], spanned by the d directions along which the walker can hop. With this, the full Hilbert space of the system is $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Then the quantum walk is defined by repeated applications of the operator

$$U = S \cdot (I_N \otimes C), \tag{1}$$

where C is the "coin flip" that acts on the internal state of the system, and S is the shift operator that causes the walker to move based on its internal state. As with most prior work on quantum walks and localization [6], throughout this paper, we choose C to be the "Grover coin" [10]:

$$C = 2|s_c\rangle\langle s_c| - I_d,$$

where $|s_c\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |i\rangle / \sqrt{d}$ is the equal superposition over the coin space.

For the shift operator S, most papers on localization focus on 1D or 2D lattices, so they typically use the "moving" shift S_m, where the particle jumps and continues pointing in the same direction. For example, on the 1D line, $S_m(|0\rangle \otimes |{\rightarrow}\rangle) = |1\rangle \otimes |{\rightarrow}\rangle$. In our paper, however, we include non-lattice graphs as well. On non-lattice graphs, the moving shift's notion of staying in the same direction is unclear, requiring an additional labeling of directed edges that form a permutation [7]. We mitigate this by instead using the "flip-flop" shift [10], where the particle jumps and then turns around. For example, on the 1D line, $S(|0\rangle \otimes |{\rightarrow}\rangle) = |1\rangle \otimes |{\leftarrow}\rangle$. Besides having a natural definition on non-lattice graphs, the flip-flop shift is also important from an algorithmic standpoint; for spatial search, where the quantum walk is supplemented by an oracle, there are cases where the flip-flop shift yields a quantum speedup while the moving shift yields no improvement over classical [11]. For more benefits of the flip-flop shift, see the introduction of [12].

In the next section, we give a simple example of oscillatory localization of the quantum walk on the complete

* andris.ambainis@lu.lv
† krisjanis.prusis@lu.lv
‡ jevgenijs.vihrovs@lu.lv
§ Currently at University of Texas at Austin, twong@cs.utexas.edu



FIG. 1. The complete graph of $N = 6$ vertices. The quantum walker begins at vertex a, pointing at vertex b. By symmetry, the remaining vertices, labeled c, evolve identically.



FIG. 2. Quantum walk on the complete graph of $N = 16$ vertices, starting in $|ab\rangle$. The probability in $|ab\rangle$ (black circles) and $|ba\rangle$ (red squares) as the quantum walk U is applied.

graph. The analysis is straightforward enough that the exact evolution can be determined using basic linear algebra. This forms intuition for more advanced analytical techniques, beginning in Section III. There, we determine the eigenvectors of U^2 with eigenvalue 1. We show that there are only two different types, which we call uniform states and flip states, and they form a complete orthogonal basis for exact oscillatory states. So the projection of an arbitrary state onto these gives a lower bound on the extent of the oscillation, as shown in Section IV. While the projection of an arbitrary state onto uniform states is trivial, it is much more challenging to find its projection onto flip states.

So for the rest of the paper, we develop a method for lower-bounding the projection onto flip states using classical electric networks in Section V. To do this, we define a bijection between flip states and circulation flows in a related graph. Since electric current is a circulation flow, we prove that oscillations on a graph occurs if the power dissipation on a related electric network is low. Then we apply this framework to certain localized starting states, showing that effective resistance can be used instead of power dissipation. That is, low electric resistance implies oscillatory localization of these states of the quantum walk. Since effective resistance is inversely related to edge-connectivity, it follows that high edge-connectivity also implies localization for the particular starting states.

Finally, in Section VI, we apply this network formulation to several examples, proving that oscillatory localization occurs on a wide variety of regular graphs, including complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, hypercubes, square lattices of high dimension, expander graphs, and high degree graphs.

Several connections between effective resistance and classical random walks are known, such as with hitting time, commute time, and cover time [13–15]. For quantum walks, however, such connections are relatively new. Belovs *et al.* [16] bounds the running time of a quantum walk algorithm for 3-Distinctness in terms of the resistance of a graph.

TABLE I. For the quantum walk on the complete graph of $N = 16$ vertices, the probability and amplitude in $|ab\rangle$ and $|ba\rangle$.

t	$\lvert\langle ab\rvert U^t\lvert ab\rangle\rvert^2$	$\lvert\langle ba\rvert U^t\lvert ab\rangle\rvert^2$	$\langle ab\rvert U^t\lvert ab\rangle$	$\langle ba\rvert U^t\lvert ab\rangle$
0	1	0	1	0
1	0	0.960004	0	−0.979798
2	0.921608	0	0.960004	0
3	6.52861×10^{-7}	0.960004	0.000807998	−0.979798
4	0.999967	0	0.999984	0
5	6.52329×10^{-7}	0.960004	−0.000807669	−0.979798
6	0.921671	0	0.960037	0
7	2.60825×10^{-6}	0.960004	0.00161501	−0.979798
8	0.999869	0	0.999935	0
9	2.60399×10^{-6}	0.960004	−0.00161369	−0.979798
10	0.921796	0	0.960102	0
11	5.855×10^{-6}	0.960004	0.00241971	−0.979798
12	0.999707	0	0.999853	0
13	5.84066×10^{-6}	0.960004	−0.00241675	−0.979798
14	0.921983	0	0.9602	0
15	0.0000103735	0.960004	0.00322079	−0.979798
16	0.999479	0	0.999739	0
17	0.0000103396	0.960004	−0.00321553	−0.979798
18	0.922233	0	0.96033	0
19	0.0000161359	0.960004	0.00401695	−0.979798
20	0.999187	0	0.999593	0

II. LOCALIZATION ON THE COMPLETE GRAPH

We begin with a simple example of oscillatory localization on the complete graph of N vertices, an example of which is shown in Fig. 1. As depicted in the figure, the walker is initially located at a single vertex, labeled a, and points towards another vertex, labeled b. That

is, the initial state of the system is $|a\rangle \otimes |a \to b\rangle$. By the symmetry of the quantum walk, all the other vertices will evolve identically; let us call them c vertices. Grouping them together, we obtain a 7D subspace for the evolution of the system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|ab\rangle &= |a\rangle \otimes |a \to b\rangle \\
|ac\rangle &= |a\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-2}} \sum_c |a \to c\rangle \\
|ba\rangle &= |b\rangle \otimes |b \to a\rangle \\
|bc\rangle &= |b\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-2}} \sum_c |b \to c\rangle \\
|ca\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-2}} \sum_c |c\rangle \otimes |c \to a\rangle \\
|cb\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-2}} \sum_c |c\rangle \otimes |c \to b\rangle \\
|cc\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-2}} \sum_c |c\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-3}} \sum_{c' \sim c} |c \to c'\rangle \,.
\end{aligned}
$$

So the system begins in $|ab\rangle$. The system evolves by repeated applications of the quantum walk operator (1), which in the $\{|ab\rangle, |ac\rangle, |ba\rangle, |bc\rangle, |ca\rangle, |cb\rangle, |cc\rangle\}$ basis is

$$
U = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -\frac{N-3}{N-1} & \frac{2\sqrt{N-2}}{N-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{N-3}{N-1} & \frac{2}{N-1} & \frac{2\sqrt{N-3}}{N-1} \\
-\frac{N-3}{N-1} & \frac{2\sqrt{N-2}}{N-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{N-1} & -\frac{N-3}{N-1} & \frac{2\sqrt{N-3}}{N-1} \\
\frac{2\sqrt{N-2}}{N-1} & \frac{N-3}{N-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2\sqrt{N-2}}{N-1} & \frac{N-3}{N-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2\sqrt{N-3}}{N-1} & \frac{2\sqrt{N-3}}{N-1} & \frac{N-5}{N-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

This matrix can be obtained by explicit calculation, or by using Eq. (9) of [17].

In Fig. 2, we plot the probability in $|ab\rangle$ (black circles) and $|ba\rangle$ (red squares) as the quantum walk evolves, and we see that the system roughly oscillates between the two states. This is an example of oscillatory localization. As the number of vertices N increases, the probability in each state at even and odd times goes to 1, so the oscillation becomes more and more certain. The precise numerical values for these probabilities are shown in Table I, along with their corresponding amplitudes.

To prove that this oscillatory localization between $|ab\rangle$ and $|ba\rangle$ persists for all time, we express the initial state $|ab\rangle$ in terms of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of U. The (unnormalized) eigenvectors and eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_1 &= \left(\frac{1}{N},\ 0,\ -\frac{N-3}{N(N-1)},\ \frac{2\sqrt{N-2}}{N(N-1)},\ \frac{2\sqrt{N-2}}{N(N-1)},\ 0,\ \frac{\sqrt{(N-2)(N-3)}}{N(N-1)}\right)^{\intercal}, && 1 \\
\psi_1' &= \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{2N(N-1)}\left(1,\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ -1,\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ 0\right)^{\intercal}, && 1 \\
\psi_{-1} &= \frac{N-3}{2(N-1)}\left(1,\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ 1,\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{N-2}},\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{(N-2)(N-3)}}\right)^{\intercal}, && -1 \\
\psi_+ &= \frac{1}{N[(N-2)-i\sqrt{N(N-2)}]}\Big(1-i\sqrt{N(N-2)},\ -i(N-3)\sqrt{N},\ N-3, \\
&\qquad -2\sqrt{N-2},\ (N-2)^{3/2}+i\sqrt{N},\ 0,\ -\sqrt{N-3}(\sqrt{N-2}+i\sqrt{N})\Big)^{\intercal}, && e^{i\theta} \\
\psi_+' &= \frac{N-3}{N[(N-2)-i\sqrt{N(N-2)}]}\Big(1,\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}+i\sqrt{N}\right),\ -1, \\
&\qquad \frac{-1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}+i\sqrt{N}\right),\ \frac{-1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}-i\sqrt{N}\right),\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}-i\sqrt{N}\right),\ 0\Big)^{\intercal}, && e^{i\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\psi_- = \frac{1}{N[(N-2)+i\sqrt{N(N-2)}]}\Bigg(1+i\sqrt{N(N-2)},\ i(N-3)\sqrt{N},\ N-3,$$
$$-2\sqrt{N-2},\ (N-2)^{3/2}-i\sqrt{N},\ 0,\ -\sqrt{N-3}(\sqrt{N-2}-i\sqrt{N})\Bigg)^{\intercal}, \qquad e^{-i\theta}$$

$$\psi'_- = \frac{N-3}{N[(N-2)+i\sqrt{N(N-2)}]}\Bigg(1,\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}-i\sqrt{N}\right),\ -1,$$
$$\frac{-1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}-i\sqrt{N}\right),\ \frac{-1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}+i\sqrt{N}\right),\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{N-2}+i\sqrt{N}\right),\ 0\Bigg)^{\intercal}, \quad e^{-i\theta}$$

where

$$\cos\theta = \frac{-1}{N-1}, \quad \sin\theta = \frac{-\sqrt{N(N-2)}}{N-1}.$$

Note that $\theta \in (\pi, 3\pi/2)$. Alternatively, if it were defined in $(0, \pi/2)$, then the last four eigenvalues would have explicit minus signs.

It is straightforward to verify that the initial state $|ab\rangle = (1,0,0,0,0,0,0)^{\intercal}$ is the sum of these unnormalized eigenvectors, i.e.,

$$|ab\rangle = \psi_1 + \psi'_1 + \psi_{-1} + \psi_+ + \psi'_+ + \psi_- + \psi'_-.$$

Then the state of the system after t applications of U is

$$U^t|ab\rangle = \psi_1 + \psi'_1 + (-1)^t\psi_{-1} + e^{i\theta t}\left(\psi_+ + \psi'_+\right) + e^{-i\theta t}\left(\psi_- + \psi'_-\right).$$

We can work out the amplitude of this in $|ab\rangle$ and $|ba\rangle$. Beginning with $|ab\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle ab|U^t|ab\rangle &= \frac{1}{N} + \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{2N(N-1)} + (-1)^t\frac{N-3}{2(N-1)} \\ &\quad + e^{i\theta t}\frac{1}{N} + e^{-i\theta t}\frac{1}{N} \\ &= \frac{N^2-3N+4}{2N(N-1)} + (-1)^t\frac{N-3}{2(N-1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{N}\cos(\theta t) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dfrac{N-2}{N} + \dfrac{2}{N}\cos(\theta t), & t \text{ even} \\ \dfrac{2}{N(N-1)} + \dfrac{2}{N}\cos(\theta t), & t \text{ odd} \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now for $|ba\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle ba|U^t|ab\rangle &= -\frac{N-3}{N(N-1)} - \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{2N(N-1)} \\ &\quad + (-1)^t\frac{N-3}{2(N-1)} + 0 + 0 \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & t \text{ even} \\ -\dfrac{N-3}{N-1}, & t \text{ odd} \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Using these formulas with $N = 16$, we get exactly the amplitudes in $|ab\rangle$ and $|ba\rangle$ in Table I. Furthermore, for large N, we get that the amplitude in $|ab\rangle$ roughly alternates between 1 and 0, while the amplitude in $-|ba\rangle$ roughly alternates between 0 and 1. So for large N, the system alternates between being in $|ab\rangle$ and $|ba\rangle$ with probability nearly 1. This is an example of oscillatory localization, and it persists for all time.

III. EXACT OSCILLATORY STATES: 1-EIGENVECTORS OF U^2

While the above example of oscillatory localization on the complete graph was simple enough to be exactly analyzed, for general graphs we expect such analysis to be intractable. Here we begin to develop a more general theory for determining when oscillatory localization occurs.

To start, we observe that oscillatory localization implies that the state of the system returns to itself after two applications of the quantum walk U (1). In other words, states that *exactly* oscillate are eigenvectors of U^2 with eigenvalue 1. In this section, we find these eigenstates, assuming that the graph G is d-regular, connected, and undirected. We show that there are only two distinct types of 1-eigenvectors of U^2, which we call uniform states and flip states, and that any 1-eigenvector of U^2 can be expressed in terms of them.

In general, although the 1-eigenvectors of U^2 oscillate between two states, they may not be localized. We disregard this for the time being, finding oscillatory states regardless of their spatial distributions. Later in the paper, we project localized initial states, such as $|ab\rangle$ from the complete graph in the last section, onto these general oscillatory states and prove oscillatory localization on a large variety of graphs.

A. Uniform States

The first type of eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1 is a *uniform state*. To define it, we start by introducing some notation.

Definition 1. *Let V be the vertex set of G. For a vertex subset $T \subseteq V$, define the outer product*

$$|\sigma_T\rangle = |s_T\rangle \otimes |s_c\rangle ,$$

where

$$|s_T\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|T|}} \sum_{t\in T} |t\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |s_c\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} |i\rangle$$

are equal superpositions over the vertices and directions, respectively. Evaluating the tensor product,

$$|\sigma_T\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d|T|}} \sum_{\substack{t\in T \\ v\sim t}} |tv\rangle ,$$

where $|tv\rangle$ denotes the quantum walker at vertex t, pointing towards vertex v.

Using this notation $|\sigma_T\rangle$, we define the uniform states of G, depending on whether G is non-bipartite or bipartite:

Definition 2. *The* uniform states of G *are*

- $|\sigma_V\rangle$ *if G is non-bipartite (i.e., the uniform superposition over all the vertices and directions).*
- $|\sigma_X\rangle$, $|\sigma_Y\rangle$, *and their linear combinations, if G is bipartite, where X and Y are the partite sets of G (i.e., the uniform superpositions over each partite set and all directions, and linear combinations of them).*

Now we give a simple proof showing that uniform states, defined above, are indeed 1-eigenvectors of U^2.

Lemma 1. *The uniform states are eigenvectors of U^2 with eigenvalue 1.*

Proof. For an arbitrary $T \subseteq V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U|\sigma_T\rangle &= S(I_N \otimes C)(|s_T\rangle \otimes |s_c\rangle) = S(|s_T\rangle \otimes C|s_c\rangle) \\ &= S(|s_T\rangle \otimes |s_c\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider separately the cases when G is non-bipartite or bipartite.

When G is non-bipartite, consider $|\sigma_V\rangle$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U|\sigma_V\rangle &= S(|s_V\rangle \otimes |s_c\rangle) \\ &= S\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{dN}} \sum_{\substack{v\in V \\ u\sim v}} (|uv\rangle + |vu\rangle)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{dN}} \sum_{\substack{v\in V \\ u\sim v}} (|vu\rangle + |uv\rangle) \\ &= |\sigma_V\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|s_V\rangle$ is an eigenvector of U with eigenvalue 1, and hence it is also an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1.

Now suppose G is bipartite. For $|\sigma_X\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U|\sigma_X\rangle &= S(|s_X\rangle \otimes |s_c\rangle) \\ &= S\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{dN/2}} \sum_{\substack{x\in X \\ y\sim x}} |xy\rangle\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{dN/2}} \sum_{\substack{y\in Y \\ x\sim y}} |yx\rangle \\ &= |\sigma_Y\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Thus in two steps, $|\sigma_X\rangle$ evolves to $|\sigma_Y\rangle$ and then back to $|\sigma_X\rangle$. Therefore, $|\sigma_X\rangle$ is an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1. The same holds for $|\sigma_Y\rangle$. □

B. Flip States

The second type of eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1 is called a *flip state*. To define it, we first introduce the average amplitude of the edges pointing out from a vertex and into a vertex. Note that each undirected edge $\{u, v\}$ actually consists of two amplitudes: one for the walker at u pointing towards v, and one for the walker at v pointing towards u. So we can formulate each undirected edge as two directed edges (u, v) and (v, u) that are described by the states $|uv\rangle$ and $|vu\rangle$ [18]. Then we have the following definition:

Definition 3. *For a state $|\psi\rangle$, define the* average outgoing and incoming amplitudes *at a vertex u as*

$$\overline{u^{\text{out}}_\psi} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{v\sim u} \langle uv|\psi\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{u^{\text{in}}_\psi} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{v\sim u} \langle vu|\psi\rangle .$$

These averages are important because the Grover coin C performs the "inversion about the average" of Grover's algorithm [19], as explained in the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Consider a general coin state*

$$|\psi_c\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i |i\rangle .$$

The Grover coin $C = 2|s_c\rangle\langle s_c| - I_d$ inverts each amplitude α_i about the average amplitude $\overline{\psi_c} = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j$, i.e.,

$$C|\psi_c\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (2\overline{\psi_c} - \alpha_i)|i\rangle .$$

Additionally note that if the average amplitude is zero (i.e., $\overline{\psi_c} = 0$), then $C|\psi_c\rangle = -|\psi_c\rangle$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} C|\psi_c\rangle &= (2|s_c\rangle\langle s_c| - I_d)|\psi_c\rangle \\ &= 2|s_c\rangle\langle s_c|\psi_c\rangle - |\psi_c\rangle \\ &= 2\cdot\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\sum_{i=1}^{d}|i\rangle\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\alpha_j - |\psi_c\rangle \\ &= 2\left(\frac{1}{d}\sum_{j=1}^{d}\alpha_j\right)\sum_{i=1}^{d}|i\rangle - |\psi_c\rangle \\ &= 2\overline{\psi_c}\sum_{i=1}^{d}|i\rangle - |\psi_c\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d}(2\overline{\psi_c} - \alpha_i)|i\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

□

Now a flip state can be defined in terms of these average outgoing and incoming amplitudes:

Definition 4. *We say that a state $|\phi\rangle$ is a* flip state *if for each vertex u we have*

$$\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \overline{u_\psi^{\text{in}}} = 0. \tag{4}$$

In other words, the sum of the amplitudes of the edges starting at u is 0, and the sum of the amplitudes of the edges ending at u is 0, for every vertex in the graph.

To explain why this is called a flip state, we introduce the concept of negating and flipping the amplitudes between each pair of vertices:

Definition 5. *For a state $|\psi\rangle$, define the* flipped state *$|\widetilde{\psi}\rangle$ to be such that*

$$\langle uv|\widetilde{\psi}\rangle = -\langle vu|\psi\rangle$$

for any edge (u, v).

A short lemma and proof shows that flip states are equal to their flipped versions under action by U, hence their name:

Lemma 3. *Let $|\phi\rangle$ be a flip state. Then $U|\phi\rangle = |\widetilde{\phi}\rangle$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U|\phi\rangle &= S(I_N\otimes C)|\phi\rangle \\ &= S(I_N\otimes C)\sum_{(u,v)}\langle uv|\phi\rangle|uv\rangle \\ &= S\left[\sum_{(u,v)}\left(2\overline{u_\phi^{\text{out}}} - \langle uv|\phi\rangle\right)|uv\rangle\right] \\ &= S\left[\sum_{(u,v)} -\langle uv|\phi\rangle|uv\rangle\right] \\ &= \sum_{(u,v)} -\langle uv|\phi\rangle|vu\rangle \\ &= |\widetilde{\phi}\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sum is over all directed edges (u, v), and the third line is obtained from Lemma 2 since the Grover coin inverts about the average. The fourth line is due to $|\phi\rangle$ being a flip state, so $\overline{u_\phi^{\text{out}}} = 0$. □

Note that the flipped version of a flip state is also a flip state:

Lemma 4. *Let $|\phi\rangle$ be a flip state. Then $|\widetilde{\phi}\rangle$ is also a flip state.*

Proof. For any vertex u, $\overline{u_{\widetilde{\phi}}^{\text{out}}} = -\overline{u_\phi^{\text{in}}} = 0$ and $\overline{u_{\widetilde{\phi}}^{\text{in}}} = -\overline{u_\phi^{\text{out}}} = 0$. □

Now it is straightforward to prove that flip states are 1-eigenvectors of U^2, so they oscillate between two states.

Lemma 5. *Any flip state $|\phi\rangle$ is an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1.*

Proof. Since the flipped state $|\widetilde{\phi}\rangle$ is also a flip state by Lemma 4,

$$U^2|\phi\rangle = U|\widetilde{\phi}\rangle = |\phi\rangle$$

by Lemma 3. Therefore $|\phi\rangle$ is an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1. □

C. Expansion of Eigenvectors

In the last two sections, we defined uniform states and flip states, both of which are eigenvectors of U^2 with eigenvalue 1. In this section, we work towards a theorem that proves that all 1-eigenvectors of U^2 can be written as linear combinations of uniform states and/or flip states. That is, uniform states and flip states form a complete basis for states exhibiting exact oscillations between two states. Towards this goal, we first prove some general properties of the 1-eigenvectors of U^2:

Lemma 6. *Let $|\psi\rangle$ be an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1, and denote $|\psi'\rangle = U|\psi\rangle$. Suppose u and v are connected by an edge in G. Then*

$$\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{v_{\psi'}^{\text{out}}}.$$

Proof. Denote $\langle uv|\psi\rangle = \delta$. Let us examine the effect of two steps of the quantum walk (1) on this amplitude, as shown in Fig. 3.

After the first step, we have

$$\langle vu|\psi'\rangle = \langle vu|U|\psi\rangle = 2\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} - \delta,$$

since the Grover coin causes the amplitude to be inverted about the average (Lemma 2), and then the flip-flop shift causes the edge to switch directions. After the second step, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle uv|U^2|\psi\rangle &= \langle uv|U|\psi'\rangle = 2\overline{v_{\psi'}^{\text{out}}} - \langle vu|\psi'\rangle \\ &= 2\overline{v_{\psi'}^{\text{out}}} - 2\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} + \delta. \end{aligned}$$



FIG. 3. Evolution of a single amplitude shown in (a), after one application of U in (b), and two applications of U in (c).

Since $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1, we have $\langle uv|U^2|\psi\rangle = \langle uv|\psi\rangle$. Hence $2\overline{v_{\psi'}^{\text{out}}} - 2\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = 0$ and $\overline{v_{\psi'}^{\text{out}}} = \overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}}$. □

Lemma 7. *Let $|\psi\rangle$ be an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1. Suppose u and v are two vertices (not necessarily distinct) of G.*

(a) If there exists a walk of even length between u and v in G, then

$$\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{v_\psi^{\text{out}}}.$$

(b) If there exists a walk of odd length between u and v in G, then

$$\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{v_\psi^{\text{in}}}.$$

Proof. Denote $|\psi'\rangle = U|\psi\rangle$.

(a) Suppose $\{u,t\}$ and $\{t,w\}$ are edges of G. By Lemma 6,

$$\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{t_{\psi'}^{\text{out}}} = \overline{w_\psi^{\text{out}}}.$$

Then the statement holds by transitivity.

(b) Suppose there is an edge $\{u,t\}$. By Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} &= \overline{t_{\psi'}^{\text{out}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\sim t}\langle tw|\psi'\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\sim t}\langle tw|S(I_N\otimes C)|\psi\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\sim t}\langle wt|(I_N\otimes C)|\psi\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\sim t}\left(2\overline{w_\psi^{\text{out}}} - \langle wt|\psi\rangle\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\sim t}\left(2\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} - \langle wt|\psi\rangle\right) \quad \text{by (a)} \\
&= 2\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} - \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\sim t}\langle wt|\psi\rangle \\
&= 2\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} - \overline{t_\psi^{\text{in}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{t_\psi^{\text{in}}}$. The statement holds by transitivity once again. □

With these lemmas in place, we are now able to prove the main result of this section, that exact oscillatory states are composed entirely of uniform states and/or flip states.

Theorem 1. *Let $|\psi\rangle$ be an eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1. Then for some flip state $|\phi\rangle$,*

(a) if G is non-bipartite,

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|\phi\rangle + \beta_V|\sigma_V\rangle,$$

(b) if G is bipartite,

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|\phi\rangle + \beta_X|\sigma_X\rangle + \beta_Y|\sigma_Y\rangle.$$

Proof. We prove each case separately:

(a) Let u and v be two arbitrary vertices of G. Since G is not bipartite, it contains a cycle of odd length. Therefore there exists both a walk of even length and a walk of odd length between u and v, as G is a connected graph. Thus $\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{v_\psi^{\text{out}}}$ and $\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{v_\psi^{\text{in}}}$ by Lemma 7. Therefore $\overline{v_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{v_\psi^{\text{in}}} = \overline{\psi}$ for any vertex v.

Consider the (likely unnormalized) state $|\varphi\rangle = |\psi\rangle - |\sigma_V\rangle\langle\sigma_V|\psi\rangle$. We prove that, up to normalization, it is a flip state by checking the two conditions of (4). First, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\sigma_V|\psi\rangle &= \sum_{u\in V}\sum_{v\sim u}\frac{1}{\sqrt{dN}}\langle uv|\psi\rangle \qquad (5)\\
&= \sqrt{\frac{d}{N}}\sum_{u\in V}\sum_{v\sim u}\frac{1}{d}\langle uv|\psi\rangle \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{d}{N}}\sum_{u\in V}\overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{d}{N}}N\overline{\psi} \\
&= \sqrt{dN}\,\overline{\psi}. \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\langle uv|\sigma_V\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{dN}}$ for any pair of adja-

cent vertices u and v. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{u_\varphi^{\text{out}}} &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{v\sim u}\langle uv|\varphi\rangle \qquad (7)\\ &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{v\sim u}\left(\langle uv|\psi\rangle - \langle uv|\sigma_V\rangle\langle\sigma_V|\psi\rangle\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{v\sim u}\left(\langle uv|\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{dN}}\sqrt{dN}\,\overline{\psi}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{v\sim u}\left(\langle uv|\psi\rangle - \overline{\psi}\right)\\ &= \overline{u_\psi^{\text{out}}} - \overline{\psi}\\ &= 0. \qquad (8)\end{aligned}$$

This is the first condition of (4). The second condition is proved similarly to equations (7)–(8):

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{u_\varphi^{\text{in}}} &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{v\sim u}\left(\langle vu|\psi\rangle - \langle vu|\sigma_V\rangle\langle\sigma_V|\psi\rangle\right)\\ &= \overline{u_\psi^{\text{in}}} - \overline{\psi}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

So $|\varphi\rangle$ is a flip state.

(b) Let the partite sets be X and Y. Let $\overline{\psi_T} = \frac{1}{|T|}\sum_{t\in T}\overline{t_\psi^{\text{out}}}$. Suppose $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then we have the following properties by Lemma 7:

$$\overline{x_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{y_\psi^{\text{in}}} = \overline{\psi_X} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{y_\psi^{\text{out}}} = \overline{x_\psi^{\text{in}}} = \overline{\psi_Y}.$$

Then similarly to (a), we can prove that the state $|\varphi\rangle = |\psi\rangle - |\sigma_X\rangle\langle\sigma_X|\psi\rangle - |\sigma_Y\rangle\langle\sigma_Y|\psi\rangle$ is a flip state by checking the two conditions of (4). First, note that similarly to equations (5)–(6),

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\sigma_X|\psi\rangle &= \sum_{x\in X}\sum_{y\sim X}\frac{1}{\sqrt{dN/2}}\langle xy|\psi\rangle\\ &= \sqrt{dN/2}\,\overline{\psi_X}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\langle xy|\sigma_X\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{dN/2}}$ for any edge (x, y). Moreover, $\langle xy|\sigma_Y\rangle = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{x_\varphi^{\text{out}}} &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{y\sim x}\Big(\langle xy|\psi\rangle - \langle xy|\sigma_X\rangle\langle\sigma_X|\psi\rangle \qquad (9)\\ &\qquad\qquad - \langle xy|\sigma_Y\rangle\langle\sigma_Y|\psi\rangle\Big)\\ &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{y\sim x}\left(\langle xy|\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{dN/2}}\sqrt{dN/2}\,\overline{\psi_X}\right.\\ &\qquad\qquad \left. - 0\cdot\langle\sigma_Y|\psi\rangle\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{y\sim x}\left(\langle xy|\psi\rangle - \overline{\psi_X}\right)\\ &= \overline{x_\psi^{\text{out}}} - \overline{\psi_X}\\ &= 0. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

This is the first condition of (4). The second condition comes similarly to equations (9)–(10),

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{y_\varphi^{\text{in}}} &= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{x\sim y}\Big(\langle xy|\psi\rangle - \langle xy|\sigma_X\rangle\langle\sigma_X|\psi\rangle\\ &\qquad\qquad - \langle xy|\sigma_Y\rangle\langle\sigma_Y|\psi\rangle\Big)\\ &= \overline{y_\psi^{\text{in}}} - \overline{\psi_X}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we prove that $\overline{y_\varphi^{\text{out}}} = 0$ and $\overline{x_\varphi^{\text{in}}} = 0$. So $|\varphi\rangle$ is a flip state. □

We end this section by showing that uniform states and flip states are orthogonal to each other, so they serve as an orthonormal basis for 1-eigenvectors of U^2.

Lemma 8. *Any flip state $|\phi\rangle$ is orthogonal to any state $|\sigma_T\rangle$:*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi|\sigma_T\rangle &= \sum_{t\in T}\sum_{v\sim t}\langle\phi|tv\rangle\langle tv|\sigma_T\rangle\\ &= \sum_{t\in T}\frac{1}{\sqrt{d|T|}}\sum_{v\sim t}\langle\phi|tv\rangle\\ &= \sum_{t\in T}\sqrt{\frac{d}{|T|}}\cdot\overline{t_\phi^{\text{out}}}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Also, the states $|\sigma_X\rangle$ and $|\sigma_Y\rangle$ are orthogonal because for any edge $|uv\rangle$, only one of them has a non-zero amplitude at this edge. Thus flip states and uniform states form a complete orthogonal basis for the eigenvectors of U^2 with eigenvalue 1.

IV. APPROXIMATE OSCILLATORY STATES

In the previous section, we found the states that *exactly* exhibit oscillation between two quantum states, returning to themselves after two applications of U. We showed that these states are spanned by uniform states and flip states. In our example of oscillatory localization on the complete graph, however, we showed that the system *approximately* alternated between $|ab\rangle$ and $-|ba\rangle$. So, while these states are not exact 1-eigenvectors of U^2, they are "close enough" that oscillatory localization still occurs.

In this section, we give conditions for when a starting state $|\psi_0\rangle$ is "close enough" to being a 1-eigenvector of U^2 that it exhibits oscillations. We do this by expanding $|\psi_0\rangle$ as a linear combination of flip states, uniform states, and whatever state remains. If the overlap of $|\psi_0\rangle$ with the flip states and/or uniform states is sufficiently large, then oscillations occur. That is, the state approximately alternates between $|\psi_0\rangle$ at even steps and $U|\psi_0\rangle$ at odd steps.

A. Estimate on the Oscillations

The following theorem gives a bound on the extent of oscillations for an arbitrary starting state.

Theorem 2. *Let $|\psi_0\rangle$ be the starting state of the quantum walk. It can be expressed as*

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \alpha\,|\phi\rangle + \beta\,|\sigma\rangle + \gamma\,|\rho\rangle\,,$$

where

- *$|\phi\rangle$ is a normalized flip state;*
- *$|\sigma\rangle$ is a normalized uniform state, equal to $|\sigma_V\rangle$ if G is non-bipartite, or a normalized linear combination of $|\sigma_X\rangle$ and $|\sigma_Y\rangle$ if G is bipartite;*
- *$|\rho\rangle$ is some normalized "remainder" state orthogonal to $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\sigma\rangle$.*

Then

(a) after an even number of steps $2t$,

$$\left|\langle\psi_0|U^{2t}|\psi_0\rangle\right| \geq 2\left(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2\right) - 1,$$

(b) after an odd number of steps $2t+1$,

$$\left|\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle\right| \geq 2\max\left(|\alpha|^2, |\beta|^2\right) - 1.$$

Proof. We prove each part separately.

(a) After $2t$ steps, the state of the quantum walk is

$$U^{2t}\,|\psi_0\rangle = \alpha\,|\phi\rangle + \beta\,|\sigma\rangle + \gamma U^{2t}\,|\rho\rangle\,.$$

Since unitary operators preserve the inner product between vectors, we have $\langle\phi|U^{2t}|\rho\rangle = \langle\sigma|U^{2t}|\rho\rangle = 0$. Therefore,

$$\langle\psi_0|U^{2t}|\psi_0\rangle = |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2\,\langle\rho|U^{2t}|\rho\rangle\,.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\langle\psi_0|U^{2t}|\psi_0\rangle\right| &\geq |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 - |\gamma|^2 \\ &= |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 - (1 - |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) \\ &= 2\left(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2\right) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

(b) After $2t+1$ steps the state of the quantum walk is

$$\begin{aligned}U^{2t+1}\,|\psi_0\rangle &= \alpha U^{2t+1}\,|\phi\rangle + \beta U^{2t+1}\,|\sigma\rangle + \gamma U^{2t+1}\,|\rho\rangle \\ &= \alpha\,|\widetilde{\phi}\rangle + \beta U\,|\sigma\rangle + \gamma U^{2t+1}\,|\rho\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

For a non-bipartite graph,

$$U\,|\sigma\rangle = U\,|\sigma_V\rangle = |\sigma_V\rangle = -\,|\widetilde{\sigma_V}\rangle = -\,|\widetilde{\sigma}\rangle\,.$$

For a bipartite graph,

$$\begin{aligned}U\,|\sigma\rangle &= U(p\,|\sigma_X\rangle + q\,|\sigma_Y\rangle) = p\,|\sigma_Y\rangle + q\,|\sigma_X\rangle \\ &= -p\,|\widetilde{\sigma_X}\rangle - q\,|\widetilde{\sigma_Y}\rangle = -\,|\widetilde{\sigma}\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in either case,

$$U^{2t+1}\,|\psi_0\rangle = \alpha\,|\widetilde{\phi}\rangle - \beta\,|\widetilde{\sigma}\rangle + \gamma U^{2t+1}\,|\rho\rangle\,.$$

The flipped starting state is

$$|\widetilde{\psi_0}\rangle = \alpha\,|\widetilde{\phi}\rangle + \beta\,|\widetilde{\sigma}\rangle + |\widetilde{\rho}\rangle\,.$$

To obtain the value of $\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle$, we look at the inner products of the vectors that contribute to $|\widetilde{\psi_0}\rangle$ and $|\psi_0\rangle$. Since unitary operations preserve the inner product between vectors, we have that $\langle\widetilde{\phi}|U^{2t+1}|\rho\rangle = \langle\phi|\rho\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\widetilde{\sigma}|U^{2t+1}|\rho\rangle = \langle\sigma|\rho\rangle = 0$. Note that the "flip" transformation that takes $|\psi\rangle$ to $|\widetilde{\psi}\rangle$ is unitary. Hence $\langle\widetilde{\rho}|\widetilde{\phi}\rangle = \langle\rho|\phi\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\widetilde{\rho}|\widetilde{\sigma}\rangle = \langle\rho|\sigma\rangle = 0$. Therefore,

$$\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle = |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2\,\langle\widetilde{\rho}|U^{2t}|\rho\rangle\,.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle\right| &\geq \left||\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2\right| - |\gamma|^2 \\ &= \left||\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2\right| - (1 - |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) \\ &= 2\max\left(|\alpha|^2, |\beta|^2\right) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

□

B. Conditions for Oscillations

With this theorem, we can determine the conditions on $|\alpha|^2$ and $|\beta|^2$ for oscillations between two states to occur. If $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 > \frac{1}{2}$, then after any number of even steps the quantum walk is in $|\psi_0\rangle$ with probability $\Theta(1)$. This occurs because the starting state is closer to some eigenvector of U^2 with eigenvalue 1 than any other eigenvector with a different eigenvalue. At odd steps, the quantum walk is in $|\widetilde{\psi_0}\rangle$ with probability $\Theta(1)$ if either $|\alpha|^2 > \frac{1}{2}$ or $|\beta|^2 > \frac{1}{2}$. Thus in this case, the starting state should be very close to either a flip or a uniform state.

The value of β is easy to explicitly calculate. If the graph is non-bipartite, then the only uniform state is $|\sigma_V\rangle$, so $\beta = \langle\sigma_V|\psi_0\rangle$. If the graph is bipartite, then there are two uniform basis states $|\sigma_X\rangle$ and $|\sigma_Y\rangle$, so $|\beta|^2 = |\beta_X|^2 + |\beta_Y|^2$, where $\beta_X = \langle\sigma_X|\psi_0\rangle$ and $\beta_Y = \langle\sigma_Y|\psi_0\rangle$.

In general, the value of $|\alpha|^2$ is more difficult to find since the size of the basis for the flip states can be large. In the next section, however, we prove that $|\alpha|^2$ can be lower bounded using power dissipation, effective resistance, and connectivity of a related classical electric circuit. Since the quantum walk alternates between $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\widetilde{\psi_0}\rangle$ with probability $\Theta(1)$ if $|\alpha|^2 > \frac{1}{2}$, these quantities of classical circuits can be used to inform whether the quantum walk oscillates between two states.



FIG. 4. The counterpart of an edge $\{u, v\}$ of G in the bipartite double graph G_b.



FIG. 5. (a) K_3, the complete graph of three vertices. (b) The bipartite double graph of K_3. (c) Rearrangement of the bipartite double graph of K_3.

V. OSCILLATIONS USING ELECTRIC CIRCUITS

A. Network Flows and Flip States

In this section, we show that there is a close connection between the flip states of a quantum walk on a graph and the flows in a related network, of which electric current is a special case. Recall that a quantum walk has two amplitudes on each edge $\{u, v\}$, one from vertex u going to vertex v and another from v going to u. So to associate this to a network flow or current, we need to split up these two amplitudes. This can be done using the *bipartite double graph* from graph theory [20]. Given a graph G, its bipartite double graph G_b is constructed as follows. For each vertex v in G, there are two vertices v_{out} and v_{in} in G_b. For each edge $\{u, v\}$ in G, there are two edges $\{u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}\}$ and $\{v_{\text{out}}, u_{\text{in}}\}$ in G_b, connected as shown in Fig. 4. As an example, consider the complete graph of three vertices in Fig. 5a. Applying this doubling procedure, we get its bipartite double graph in Fig. 5b. Note that this bipartite double graph is a cycle, which we make evident by rearranging the graph in Fig. 5c.

Now let us define a network flow in a graph, which is another concept from graph theory [21]. Let G be a graph and $E(G)$ its edge set. Denote by $\vec{E}(G) = \{(u, v) \mid \{u, v\} \in E(G)\}$ the set of directed edges of G. A *network flow* is a function $f : \vec{E}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ that assigns a certain amount of flow passing through each edge [21]. A network flow is called a *circulation* if it satisfies two properties: (a) Skew symmetry: the flow on an edge (u, v) is equal to the negative flow on the reversed edge (v, u), *i.e.*, $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$, and (b) Flow conservation: the amount of the incoming flow at a vertex v is equal to the amount of the flow outgoing from v, *i.e.*, $\sum_{u:u\sim v} f(u, v) = 0$.

Each circulation f of G_b maps to a (possibly unnormalized) flip state $|\phi'\rangle$ of G (whose normalized form we denote by $|\phi\rangle$), and vice versa, by the following bijection:

$$f(u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}) = \langle uv|\phi'\rangle\,, \quad f(v_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{out}}) = -\langle uv|\phi'\rangle\,. \quad (11)$$

The amplitudes of the outgoing edges of u sum up to 0, thus the flow is conserved at vertex u_{out}. Similarly flow conservation holds also at vertex u_{in}.

Now consider an arbitrary starting state $|\psi_0\rangle$. To find the value of $|\alpha|^2$, we need the flip state $|\phi\rangle$ that is the closest to $|\psi_0\rangle$ among all the flip states. Alternatively, we can search for an optimal circulation in G_b. Next we show that a circulation that is sufficiently close to optimal can be obtained using electric networks.

B. Electric Networks and Oscillations

We examine *electric networks* [13], which are graphs where each edge is replaced by a unit resistor. Each vertex of the graph may also be either a source or a sink of some amount of current. We construct an electric network $\mathcal{N}_b$ from G_b and $|\psi_0\rangle$ in the following fashion. The vertex set of $\mathcal{N}_b$ is equal to that of G_b. Examine the amplitude $\langle uv|\psi_0\rangle = \delta$ at an edge $|uv\rangle$.

(a) If $\delta = 0$, add an edge $\{u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}\}$ to $\mathcal{N}_b$ with a unit resistance assigned.

(b) If $\delta \neq 0$, inject δ units of current at v_{in} and extract the same amount at u_{out}.

Note that $\mathcal{N}_b$ could have multiple sources and sinks of current by the construction.

For example, let G be a complete graph of three vertices a, b, c, which we considered in Fig. 5a. Its double bipartite graph G_b is a cycle of length 6, as shown in Fig. 5c. Say the starting state of the walk is $|\psi_0\rangle = |ab\rangle$. Then the electric network $\mathcal{N}_b$ is a path of length 5, as the edge $\{a_{\text{out}}, b_{\text{in}}\}$ is excluded from the electric network, as shown in Fig. 6. A unit current is then injected at b_{in} and extracted at a_{out}.

Let $I_b(x, y)$ be the current flowing on the edge from x to y in $\mathcal{N}_b$. From this current, we can construct a circulation f of G_b. Consider an edge $\{u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}\}$ in G_b.

(a) If $\{u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}\} \in E(\mathcal{N}_b)$, set

$$f(u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}) = -f(v_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{out}}) = I_b(u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}).$$



FIG. 6. The electric network $\mathcal{N}_b$ for the complete graph of three vertices a, b, c with starting state $|\psi_0\rangle = |ab\rangle$.

(b) Otherwise, set

$$f(u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}) = -f(v_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{out}}) = \langle uv|\psi_0\rangle .$$

By construction, f satisfies skew symmetry. Since the net current flowing into a vertex equals the net current leaving it, f also satisfies flow conservation, so it is a circulation.

By the bijection (11), this circulation f corresponds to a flip state $|\phi'\rangle$, which in general is unnormalized. Since each amplitude in $|\phi'\rangle$ is either an amplitude in $|\psi_0\rangle$ or a current in $\mathcal{N}_b$, we have

$$\sum_{(u,v)} |\langle uv|\phi'\rangle|^2 = \sum_{(u,v)} |\langle uv|\psi_0\rangle|^2 + \sum_{\{x,y\}\in E(\mathcal{N}_b)} |I_b(x,y)|^2.$$

Since the starting state $|\psi_0\rangle$ is normalized, the first sum on the right-hand side is 1. For the rightmost sum, note the power dissipation through a resistor is I^2R [22], where I is the current through the resistor and R its resistance. In our network, $R = 1$ since we have unit resistors, so the power dissipation is I^2. Then the rightmost sum is equal to the power dissipation $P(\mathcal{N}_b)$ in the network, i.e.,

$$P(\mathcal{N}_b) = \sum_{\{x,y\}\in E(\mathcal{N}_b)} |I_b(x,y)|^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{(u,v)} |\langle uv|\phi'\rangle|^2 = 1 + P(\mathcal{N}_b).$$

It is also possible that the current cannot flow in $\mathcal{N}_b$, depending on the starting state $|\psi_0\rangle$. For example, there is no flow if G is the complete graph of three vertices with $|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|ab\rangle + |ba\rangle)$. In this case, we regard the power dissipation as being infinitely large.

Normalizing $|\phi'\rangle$ and calling it $|\phi\rangle$,

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)}} |\phi'\rangle .$$

We are interested in how close this flip state $|\phi\rangle$ is to the starting state $|\psi_0\rangle$. This is given by the inner product

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi_0|\phi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)}} \langle\psi_0|\phi'\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)}} \sum_{(u,v)} \langle\psi_0|uv\rangle \langle uv|\phi'\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)}} \sum_{(u,v)} |\langle\psi_0|uv\rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)}}.\end{aligned}$$

To go from the second to third line, recall when $\langle uv|\psi_0\rangle = \delta \neq 0$, then δ units of current are injected at v_{in} and extracted from u_{out}. Then $f(u_{\text{out}}, v_{\text{in}}) = \delta$, and from the bijection (11), $\langle uv|\phi'\rangle = \delta = \langle uv|\psi_0\rangle$. For the last line, $|\psi_0\rangle$ is a normalized state. Hence the contribution of the flip states to the starting state is lower bounded by

$$|\alpha|^2 \geq |\langle\psi_0|\phi\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)}. \tag{12}$$

This lower bound is maximized when the power dissipation is minimized. Thomson's Principle states that the values of the current determined by Kirchhoff's Circuit Laws minimize the power dissipation. Hence the current through the network $\mathcal{N}_b$ naturally yields the best bound in (12).

Together with Theorem 2, this gives the following estimate on the oscillations: after an even number of steps $2t$ or an odd number of steps $2t+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\langle\psi_0|U^{2t}|\psi_0\rangle\right|, \left|\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle\right| &\geq 2|\alpha|^2 - 1 \\ &\geq 2\cdot\frac{1}{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)} - 1 = \frac{1-P(\mathcal{N}_b)}{1+P(\mathcal{N}_b)}.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

This leads to our first and most general result linking electric circuits and oscillations:

Theorem 3. *For an arbitrary starting state $|\psi_0\rangle$, low power dissipation $P(\mathcal{N}_b) < 1$ implies oscillations between $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\widetilde{\psi_0}\rangle$.*

In general, the power dissipation of a circuit may be hard to find. But for some initial states, we can relate it to effective resistance, which is often easier to find and is well-studied for a number of graphs.

C. Oscillatory Localization of Single-Edge States

Consider the starting state $|\psi_0\rangle = |ab\rangle$, where the walker is initially localized at vertex a and points towards vertex b. Then the current in $\mathcal{N}_b$ is a unit flow (flow of one unit from b_{in} to a_{out}), and thus $P(\mathcal{N}_b) = R(\mathcal{N}_b)$ where $R(\mathcal{N})$ denotes the effective resistance of $\mathcal{N}$.

A related notion is the *resistance distance* $\Omega_{a,b}$ between two vertices a and b in a graph [23]. It is the effective

resistance between a and b in a network obtained from the graph by replacing each edge by a unit resistor.

The only edge in which G_b and $\mathcal{N}_b$ differ is $\{a_{\text{out}}, b_{\text{in}}\}$, so we may interpret G_b as an electric network where $\{a_{\text{out}}, b_{\text{in}}\}$ with a unit resistance and $\mathcal{N}_b$ are connected in parallel. Hence low resistance distance $\Omega_{a_{\text{out}},b_{\text{in}}}$ in G_b implies low $R(\mathcal{N}_b)$:

$$\Omega_{a_{\text{out}},b_{\text{in}}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{R(\mathcal{N}_b)}} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + R(\mathcal{N}_b)}, \tag{14}$$

where we used the parallel resistance formula [22]. Substituting in (13), we obtain

$$\left|\langle\psi_0|U^{2t}|\psi_0\rangle\right|, \left|\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle\right| \geq 1 - 2\Omega_{a_{\text{out}},b_{\text{in}}}. \tag{15}$$

Since $|\widetilde{\psi_0}\rangle = -|ba\rangle$, we have proven that

Theorem 4. *Low resistance distance $\Omega_{a_{\text{out}},b_{\text{in}}} < 1/2$ in G_b implies oscillatory localization between $|\psi_0\rangle = |ab\rangle$ and $|\widetilde{\psi_0}\rangle = -|ba\rangle$.*

Notably, if G is bipartite, then G_b consists of two identical copies of G. It follows that $\Omega_{a,b} = \Omega_{a_{\text{out}},b_{\text{in}}}$ because the current may flow from a_{out} to b_{in} in only one of the copies. Then low effective resistance in the original graph G is sufficient to imply localization of $|ab\rangle$.

D. Localization of Self-Flip States

For a particular set of starting states, we can essentially repeat the same analysis with the original graph G, not its bipartite double graph G_b. We say that $|\psi\rangle$ is a *self-flip state* if $\langle uv|\psi\rangle = -\langle vu|\psi\rangle$ for each edge $|uv\rangle$. That is, $|\psi\rangle = |\widetilde{\psi}\rangle$. Then a self-flip state undergoing oscillations is stationary, since it alternates between $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\widetilde{\psi}\rangle = |\psi\rangle$.

Suppose the initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ is a self-flip state. We construct an electric network $\mathcal{N}$ with the same vertex set as G. Examine each *undirected edge* $\{u, v\}$ once; let $\langle uv|\psi_0\rangle = \delta$.

(a) If $\delta = 0$, add an edge $\{u, v\}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ with a unit resistance assigned.

(b) If $\delta \neq 0$, inject δ units of current at v and extract the same amount at u.

Let $I(u, v)$ again be the current flowing on the edge from u to v in $\mathcal{N}$. Since $\langle uv|\psi_0\rangle = -\langle vu|\psi_0\rangle$ and $I(u, v) = -I(v, u)$, we can construct a circulation f of G similarly to the previous construction of f from I_b. For an edge $\{u, v\}$ in G:

(a) If $\{u, v\} \in E(\mathcal{N})$, set

$$f(u, v) = -f(v, u) = I(u, v).$$

(b) Otherwise, set

$$f(u, v) = -f(v, u) = \langle uv|\psi_0\rangle.$$

Let $|\phi'\rangle$ be the unnormalized flip state corresponding to f according to (11). Again, since the amplitude on each edge $|uv\rangle$ in $|\phi'\rangle$ is either $I(u, v)$ or $\langle uv|\psi_0\rangle$, we have

$$\sum_{(u,v)} |\langle uv|\phi'\rangle|^2 = \sum_{(u,v)} |\langle uv|\psi_0\rangle|^2 + 2\sum_{\{x,y\}\in E(\mathcal{N})} |I(x, y)|^2.$$

The last sum has a factor of 2 as each edge $\{u, v\}$ from $\mathcal{N}$ sets the amplitudes of both $|uv\rangle$ and $|vu\rangle$ by the construction of f. Thus the expression is equal to $1+2P(\mathcal{N})$. By the same procedure as before, we use this to find the normalized state $|\phi\rangle$, the overlap $\langle\psi_0|\phi\rangle$, and a lower bound on $|\alpha|^2$, yielding a lower bound on localization:

$$\left|\langle\psi_0|U^{2t}|\psi_0\rangle\right|, \left|\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle\right| \geq \frac{1 - 2P(\mathcal{N})}{1 + 2P(\mathcal{N})}. \tag{16}$$

This is similar to (13), and it yields the next result:

Theorem 5. *For a self-flip starting state $|\psi_0\rangle$, low power dissipation $P(\mathcal{N}) < 1/2$ implies that $|\psi_0\rangle$ is stationary.*

We can relate this to effective resistance for the particular starting state $|\psi_0\rangle = (|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, where the particle is localized at vertices a and b. By constructing $\mathcal{N}$ as described before, we obtain a current of $1/\sqrt{2}$ units from a to b. Then the power dissipation is equal to this current squared times the effective resistance, so $2P(\mathcal{N}) = R(\mathcal{N})$. Then similarly to (14), we deduce that

$$\Omega_{a,b} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{R(\mathcal{N})}} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + R(\mathcal{N})}.$$

Therefore, substituting this in (16), we get

$$\left|\langle\psi_0|U^{2t}|\psi_0\rangle\right|, \left|\langle\widetilde{\psi_0}|U^{2t+1}|\psi_0\rangle\right| \geq 1 - 2\Omega_{a,b}.$$

This proves another new result:

Theorem 6. *Low resistance distance $\Omega_{a,b} < 1/2$ in G implies localization of the starting state $|\psi_0\rangle = (|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.*

E. High Connectivity

Resistance distance is known to be closely related to edge-connectivity. Two vertices s and t are said to be k-edge-connected if there exists k edge-disjoint paths from s to t.

A tight relation was proved in [24]: if s and t are k-edge-connected, then

$$\Omega_{s,t} = O\left(\frac{N^{2/3}}{k}\right). \tag{17}$$

If one also looks at the lengths of the paths, then a stronger statement is true. Let s and t be connected by k edge-disjoint paths with lengths $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_k$. The

resistance distance between s and t in the subgraph induced by these paths may only be larger than in the original graph. In this subgraph, the paths correspond to k resistors connected in parallel with resistances equal to $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_k$. Then we have the following upper bound:

$$\Omega_{s,t} \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell_i}}. \tag{18}$$

In the context of this paper, we arrive at the following conclusion by Theorems 4 and 6, that high edge-connectivity implies low resistance distance:

Theorem 7. *High edge-connectivity between a_{out} and b_{in} in G_b implies oscillatory localization between $|ab\rangle$ and $-|ba\rangle$. On the other hand, high edge-connectivity between a and b in G implies that $|\psi_0\rangle = (|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is stationary.*

In particular, $k = \omega(N^{2/3})$ means that $\Omega_{s,t} = o(1)$ by (17) and therefore implies localization. For instance, edge-connectivity between any two vertices in the complete graph is high: $\Theta(N)$ and then $\Omega_{s,t} = O\left(1/N^{1/3}\right)$. On the other hand, edge-connectivity between two vertices s and t connected by an edge in a d-dimensional hypercube is only $\Theta(d) = \Theta(\log N)$, so (17) is not enough. There are $\Theta(d)$ edge-disjoint paths of length 3, however, between s and t; thus by (18), the resistance is small: $\Omega_{s,t} = O\left(3/\log N\right)$. Therefore, edge-connectivity is another useful measure of graphs that implies (oscillatory) localization of quantum walks.

VI. EXAMPLES

A large variety of regular graphs have low resistance distance. For instance, the resistance distance between any two vertices u and v connected by an edge in a d-regular edge-transitive graph is given by [23, 25]:

$$\Omega_{u,v} = \frac{N-1}{dN/2} \approx \frac{2}{d}. \tag{19}$$

Similarly for the bipartite double graph,

$$\Omega_{u_{\text{out}},v_{\text{in}}} = \frac{2N-1}{dN} \approx \frac{2}{d}. \tag{20}$$

Thus in any case, the resistance distance is small provided the degree d is high. Then from Theorems 4 and 6, $|ab\rangle$ oscillates with $-|ba\rangle$, while $(|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is localized, for edge-transitive graphs including complete graphs, complete bipartite graphs, hypercubes, and arbitrary-dimensional square lattices with degree greater than four. In addition, both of these states correspond to a single edge in the electric network. Then the current, which minimizes the energy dissipation, exactly corresponds to the flip state closest to $|\psi_0\rangle$, which implies equality in (12). Then $|\alpha|^2$ can be found exactly by substituting (19) or (20) into (14) and then into (12), yielding $|\alpha|^2 = (dN - 2N + 2)/dN$ and $(dN - 2N + 1)/dN$, respectively.

Using this result, let us revisit our initial example in Fig. 1 of a quantum walk on the complete graph with starting state $|\psi_0\rangle = |ab\rangle$. Then the degree is $d = N - 1$, and the probability overlap of the starting state with a flip state is

$$|\alpha|^2 = \frac{N(N-1) - 2N + 1}{N(N-1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{N-1} - \frac{1}{N}.$$

The value of $|\beta|^2$ can also be calculated explicitly as

$$|\beta|^2 = |\langle ab|\sigma_V\rangle|^2 = \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{dN}}\right|^2 = \frac{1}{N(N-1)}.$$

Using these precise values of $|\alpha|^2$ and $|\beta|^2$ in Theorem 2, the amplitude of the state being in its initial state $|ab\rangle$ at even timesteps is

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\langle ab|U^{2t}|ab\rangle\right| &\geq 2\left(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2\right) - 1 \\ &= 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{N-1} - \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N(N-1)}\right) - 1 \\ &= 1 - \frac{4}{N}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the amplitude of being in its flipped version $-|ba\rangle$ at odd timesteps is

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\langle ba|U^{2t+1}|ab\rangle\right| &\geq 2\max\left(1 - \frac{1}{N-1} - \frac{1}{N}, \frac{1}{N(N-1)}\right) - 1 \\ &= 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{N-1} - \frac{1}{N}\right) - 1 \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{N-1} - \frac{2}{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us compare these bounds to the exact result in Section II. There, we found in (2) that at even steps, the system was in $|ab\rangle$ with amplitude

$$\frac{N-2}{N} + \frac{2}{N}\cos(\theta t) = 1 - \frac{2}{N} + \frac{2}{N}\cos(\theta t) \geq 1 - \frac{4}{N},$$

so the bound we just derived from Theorem 2 is tight. From Section II, we found in (3) that at odd steps that the system was in $-|ba\rangle$ with amplitude

$$\frac{N-3}{N-1} = 1 - \frac{2}{N-1}.$$

So the bound we just derived is close to being tight.

Expander graphs [26] are generally *not* edge-transitive, and they have significant applications in communication networks, representations of finite graphs, and error correcting codes. Expander graphs of degree d have resistance distance $\Theta(1/d)$ [15], hence expander graphs of degree $d = \omega(1)$ exhibit localization of the initial state $(|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.

A general graph, which may be irregular, also has low resistance distance $\Omega_{a,b} \leq \frac{4}{d} \leq \frac{8}{N}$ when its minimum degree $d \geq \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$ is high [15]. So $(|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is also localized for these graphs.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have introduced a new type of localization where a quantum walk alternates between two states. Such oscillation is exactly characterized by only two types of states, flip states and uniform states, both of which are 1-eigenvectors of U^2. By projecting the initial localized state $|\psi_0\rangle$ of the system onto these states, their respective amplitudes α and β give bounds on whether oscillatory localization occurs.

While finding β exactly is trivial, simply bounding α requires more advanced analysis, which we provided using a bijection between flip states and current in electric networks. Using this, we proved a general result that oscillations on a graph G occur when the power dissipation of an electric network defined on the bipartite double graph G_b is low. For the initial state $|ab\rangle$, low effective resistance on G_b can be used instead, while for $(|ab\rangle - |ba\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, low effective resistance on the original graph G suffices. We can also use high connectivity instead of low effective resistance. Thus quantities in classical electric circuits imply a quantum behavior on graphs, namely oscillatory localization of quantum walks.

Further research includes relating power dissipation, effective resistance, and connectivity to other properties to determine what other starting states and graphs exhibit oscillatory localization. For example, Menger's theorem [27, 28] states that the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths between s and t is equal to the minimum s-t edge-cut. Resistance is also related to commute time and cover time [15]. Oscillatory localization can also be investigated for quantum walks on different graphs or with different initial states, or for different definitions of quantum walks. This opens up the exploration of oscillations where the particle does not exactly return to its initial state, but has a global, unobservable phase. Finally, as our exact oscillatory localization is an example of a quantum walk periodic in two steps, another open area is investigating quantum walks with larger periods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under the QALGO (Grant Agreement No. 600700) project and the RAQUEL (Grant Agreement No. 323970) project, the ERC Advanced Grant MQC, and the Latvian State Research Programme NeXIT project No. 1.

[1] Troy D. Mackay, Stephen D. Bartlett, Leigh T. Stephenson, and Barry C. Sanders, "Quantum walks in higher dimensions," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, 2745 (2002).

[2] Ben Tregenna, Will Flanagan, Rik Maile, and Viv Kendon, "Controlling discrete quantum walks: coins and initial states," New J. Phys. **5**, 83 (2003).

[3] Norio Inui, Yoshinao Konishi, and Norio Konno, "Localization of two-dimensional quantum walks," Phys. Rev. A **69**, 052323 (2004).

[4] Salvador E. Venegas-Andraca, "Quantum walks: a comprehensive review," Quantum Information Processing **11**, 1015–1106 (2012).

[5] Takuya Kitagawa, Mark S. Rudner, Erez Berg, and Eugene Demler, "Exploring topological phases with quantum walks," Phys. Rev. A **82**, 033429 (2010).

[6] Bálint Kollár, Tamás Kiss, and Igor Jex, "Strongly trapped two-dimensional quantum walks," Phys. Rev. A **91**, 022308 (2015).

[7] Dorit Aharonov, Andris Ambainis, Julia Kempe, and Umesh Vazirani, "Quantum walks on graphs," in *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '01 (ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2001) pp. 50–59.

[8] David A. Meyer, "From quantum cellular automata to quantum lattice gases," J. Stat. Phys. **85**, 551–574 (1996).

[9] David A. Meyer, "On the absence of homogeneous scalar unitary cellular automata," Phys. Lett. A **223**, 337–340 (1996).

[10] Neil Shenvi, Julia Kempe, and K. Birgitta Whaley, "Quantum random-walk search algorithm," Phys. Rev. A **67**, 052307 (2003).

[11] Andris Ambainis, Julia Kempe, and Alexander Rivosh, "Coins make quantum walks faster," in *Proceedings of the 16th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '05 (SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2005) pp. 1099–1108.

[12] Thomas G. Wong, "Quantum walk on the line through potential barriers," Quantum Inf. Process. **15**, 675–688 (2015).

[13] Peter G. Doyle and James L. Snell, *Random walks and electric networks*, Carus Mathematical Monographs No. 22 (Mathematical Association of America, 1984).

[14] Prasad Tetali, "Random walks and the effective resistance of networks," J. Theor. Probab. **4**, 101–109 (1991).

[15] Ashok K. Chandra, Prabhakar Raghavan, Walter L. Ruzzo, Roman Smolensky, and Prasoon Tiwari, "The electrical resistance of a graph captures its commute and cover times," Comput. Complexity **6**, 312–340 (1996).

[16] Aleksandrs Belovs, Andrew M. Childs, Stacey Jeffery, Robin Kothari, and Frédéric Magniez, "Time-efficient quantum walks for 3-distinctness," in *Proceedings of the 40th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*, ICALP '13 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013) pp. 105–122.

[17] Krišjānis Prūsis, Jevgēnijs Vihrovs, and Thomas G. Wong, "Doubling the success of quantum walk search using internal-state measurements," J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **49**, 455301 (2016).

[18] Mark Hillery, Janos Bergou, and Edgar Feldman, "Quantum walks based on an interferometric analogy," Phys. Rev. A **68**, 032314 (2003).

[19] Lov K. Grover, "A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search," in *Proceedings of the 28th Annual*

ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '96 (ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1996) pp. 212–219.

[20] Andries E. Brouwer, Arjeh M. Cohen, and Arnold Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete No. 18 (Springer-Verlag, 1989).

[21] Béla Bollobás, *Graph theory: an introductory course* (Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA, 1979).

[22] Douglas C. Giancoli, *Physics for Scientists & Engineers with Modern Physics*, 4th ed. (Pearson, 2008).

[23] Douglas J. Klein and Milan Randić, "Resistance distance," J. Math. Chem. **12**, 81–95 (1993).

[24] Kook Jin Ahn, Sudipto Guha, and Andrew McGregor, "Spectral sparsification in dynamic graph streams," in *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization: Algorithms and Techniques. Proceedings of the 16th International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization Problems, and the 17th International Workshop on Randomization and Computation*, APPROX/RANDOM 2013 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013) pp. 1–10.

[25] Ronald M. Foster, "The average impedance of an electrical network," in *Contributions to Applied Mechanics (Reissner Anniversary Volume)* (1949) pp. 333–340.

[26] Shlomo Hoory, Nathan Linial, and Avi Wigderson, "Expander graphs and their applications," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **43**, 439–561 (2006).

[27] Karl Menger, "Zur allgemeinen kurventheorie," Fund. Math. **10**, 96–115 (1927).

[28] Rudolf Halin, "A note on Menger's theorem for infinite locally finite graphs," Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg **40**, 111–114 (1974).